

Straw Man Approach to Plan Prioritization

Step 1: Plan Screening

| Evaluation Criteria | Screening Method | Question from Project App. Form | Example Project Database Information | | | | | | Example Project Scoring | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | | Project 1 | Project 2 | Project 3 | Project 4 | Project 5 | Project 6 | Project 1 | Project 2 | Project 3 | Project 4 | Project 5 | Project 6 |
| Consistent with IRWM Plan Objectives | Pass/Fail Scoring | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Addresses Plan Objectives | P/F | Q5 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | P | P | P | P | P | F |
| Outcome | Pass or Fail (removed from Plan) | | Outcome | | | | | | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Fail |
| Other Criteria | Yes/No Scoring | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Addresses a Plan Target | Y/N | Q2 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | |
| No Prior Phases Submitted | Y/N | Q2 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | |
| Free from Insurmountable Constraints | Y/N | Q2,Q15,Q16 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | |
| Outcome | Yes on both = Candidate for Tier 1 Else Tier 2 | | Outcome | | | | | | Candidate for Tier 1 | Candidate for Tier 1 | Candidate for Tier 1 | Candidate for Tier 1 | Tier 2 | |
| OUTCOME | Remove from Plan or Move to Scoring | | OUTCOME | | | | | | Move to Scoring | Move to Scoring | Move to Scoring | Move to Scoring | Move to Scoring | Remove from Plan |

Step 2: Plan Scoring

| Evaluation Criteria | Scoring Method | | | | Question from Project App. Form | Example Project Database Information | | | | | Example Project Scoring | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|------|-----|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----|----|
| | | | | | | Project 1 | Project 2 | Project 3 | Project 4 | Project 5 | Project 1 | Project 2 | Project 3 | Project 4 | Project 5 | | |
| Up to 12 points each | Point Value | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Addresses Multiple Objectives | | 4+ | 3 | 2 | 1 | Q2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 3 | |
| Incorporates Multiple Strategies | | 8 | 6-7 | 4-5 | 2-3 | Q5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 3 | |
| Up to 8 points each | Point Value | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | | | | | | Subtotal | | | | | | |
| Benefits Multiple Hydrologic Units Identified in Existing Plan | | 11 | 7-10 | 3-6 | 2 | Q4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | |
| | | | Y/N | | | Q2 | | ✓ | | | | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Up to 4 points each | Point Value | Y=4, N=0 | | | | | | | | | Subtotal | | | | | | |
| Involves More Than One Entity Linked to Other Projects | | Y/N | | | | Q13 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | |
| Benefits DAC | | Y/N | | | | Q2 | | ✓ | | | ✓ | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | |
| Addresses Environmental Justice Concerns | | Y/N | | | | Q2 | ✓ | | ✓ | | | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| Creates New Supply | | Y/N | | | | Q2 | | ✓ | | ✓ | | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Subtotal | 12 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | 24 | 36 | 35 | 25 | 20 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | RANK | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |