

**RAC-Approved Project Scoring Criteria for Round 3 2014 IRWM Drought Solicitation**  
**Adapted from Table 9-1 of the 2013 San Diego IRWM Plan**

| Criterion  | Scoring Procedure  | Points Assigned  | Percent of Total Score <sup>2</sup> |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Pass/Fail Criteria to Be Considered for Funding</b>   |  |  |                                     |
| Project must meet Objective A, Objective B, and at least one other objective articulated in the 2013 San Diego IRWM Plan   |  |  |                                     |
| Project must be ready to begin implementation by April 1, 2015   |  |  |                                     |
| To be eligible for Expedited Drought Relief Funding per State solicitation, project must do at least one of the following:   |  |  |                                     |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Provide immediate regional drought preparedness</li> <li>2. Increase local water supply reliability and delivery of safe drinking water</li> <li>3. Implement conservation programs and measures that are not locally cost-effective</li> <li>4. Reduce water quality or ecosystem conflicts created by drought</li> </ol> |  |  |                                     |
| <b>Scoring Criteria</b>  |  |  |                                     |
| Addresses Multiple Objectives <sup>1</sup>   | Score is based on # of objectives addressed <sup>3</sup>   | 6+ objectives = 4 pts<br>5 objectives = 3 pts<br>4 objectives = 2 pts<br>3 objectives = 1 pt   | 20%                                 |
| Spans Multiple Watersheds  | Score is based on the level of integration between watersheds  | Multiple Watersheds = 4 pts<br>Integration within a single Watershed = 2 pts<br>Only site-specific = 0 pts                                 | 0%                                  |
| Addresses Multiple Beneficial Uses (BUs)   | Score is based on # of beneficial uses addressed   | 4+ BUs = 4 pts<br>3 BUs = 3 pts<br>2 BUs = 2 pts<br>1 BU = 1 pt  | 5%                                  |
| Addresses Multiple Watershed Services within the Hydrologic Cycle  | Score is based on the number of watershed services <sup>4</sup> within the hydrologic cycle                      | Includes 2+ watershed services = 2 pts<br>Includes 1-2 watershed services = 1 pts<br>Includes no watershed functions = 0 pts               | 0%                                  |
| Creates New Applied Water or Offsets Potable Demand <sup>2</sup>   | Score is based on Yes/No response  | Yes = 4 pt<br>No = 0 pts   | 35%                                 |
| Linked to Other Water Management Projects  | Score is based on Yes/No response  | Yes = 4 pt<br>No = 0 pts   | 5%                                  |
| Involves More than One Entity  | Score is based on Yes/No response  | Yes = 4 pt<br>No = 0 pts   | 10%                                 |
| Implements IRWM Plan Recommendation or Addresses an IRWM Issue <sup>5</sup> , IRWM Workgroup Recommendation, or a Recommendation in an Adopted Water Management Plan   | Score is based on the kind of planning document that suggests implementing benefits or components of the project | IRWM Plan Recommendation or Issue = 4 pts<br>Workgroup Recommendation = 2 pts<br>Other Adopted Water Management Plan Recommendation = 1 pt | 20%                                 |
| Directly Benefits Disadvantaged / Environmental Justice Communities  | Score is based on the degree of benefit (direct vs. indirect)  | Direct Benefits = 4 pts<br>Indirect Benefit = 2 pts<br>No Benefits = 0 pts   | 5%                                  |

1. ½ points may be applied if the project indirectly meets this criterion (see Table 2-2 for IRWM Plan Objectives).
2. Prior to each round of funding, percentages will be applied as appropriate to determine applicable weighting of each criterion in accordance with direction provided by the RAC and the RWMG. Please note that percentages may be set at 0 for any given criteria, indicating that any of these criteria may be removed from consideration for a specific funding opportunity. Conversely, the “Other” category provided in this table indicates that any number of new criteria may be added by the RAC and the RWMG to reflect new or modified funding priorities.
3. Note that to be considered for IRWM funding, Objectives A and B and one other must be addressed. RAC may be asked to prioritize the IRWM Plan Objectives prior to each grant cycle.
4. Watershed services are defined in Section 9.2.5
5. IRWM Issues are identified in Table 1-2 of the IRWM Plan Update
6. “Other” scoring shall consider contribution of project to reducing greenhouse gas emissions, how the project will reduce dependence on Delta Supply, and how the project is related to resource management strategies (see Chapter 8).