	Table 9 – Scoring Criteria and Standards					
Q#	Questions					
Prop	oosal Level Evaluation	Points Available				
1	Does the proposal clearly identify the regional water management impact(s) due to the 2014 drought or any anticipated impacts if the drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	5				
2	Did the Project Proponent identify the mandatory or voluntary water conservation measures/restrictions that have been implemented due to the 2104 drought or any planned or anticipated actions if drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015?	5				
3	Is there a map of the IRWM Region that shows the location of the project(s) included in the Proposal?	1				
4	Does the Budget contain a summary budget for the Proposal?	1				
5	Does the Schedule contain a summary schedule for the Proposal?	1				
6	Collectively, do the Work Summary, Budget, and Schedule demonstrate that a majority of the projects will be ready to start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	2				
7	Enter up to 3 points for proposals that address the Human Right to Water	3				
	Total for Proposal Leve		18			
Proj	ect Level Evaluation	Points Available	Project Name/ID Add 1 column/ Project			
9	Is a brief description of the project included?	1				
10	Is there a project map that shows the location of the project and the areas affected by the project?	1				
11	Does the applicant clearly explain how the proposed project will help alleviate the identified drought impacts?	2				
12	Is the project proponent at risk of not meeting existing drinking water demands without the proposed project?	2				
13	Are the anticipated primary and secondary physical benefits of the project described and quantified?	1				
14	Is each physical benefit annualized over the lifecycle of the project?	1				
15	Is the technical analysis reasonable considering the size of the project and the type of physical benefit claimed?	1				
16	Does the technical analysis support the claimed physical benefits?	2				
17	Is the proposed project the least cost alternative? If not, does the applicant sufficiently explain why it was selected instead of the least cost alternative?	1				
18	Is an estimate of annual project costs provided and does the estimate demonstrate a source of funding that will allow the project proponent to successfully operate and maintain the project over its projected life-cycle?	2				
19	Does the applicant identify targets, and associated tools/methods, that will be used to monitor the project's ability to achieve the claimed benefits (project performance)?	1				
20	Does the application explain how the listed tools and methods will be used to effectively monitor project performance?	1				
21	Does the applicant discuss the necessary tasks that will result in a completed project?	1				
22	Do the tasks in the scope of work include appropriate deliverables (i.e., CEQA documents, plans and specifications, monitoring plans, progress reports, final report, etc.)?	1				
23	Does the Work Summary include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., % complete)?	1				
24	If applicable, does the Work Summary include a listing of required permits and their status, and the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed project? $(N/A = Yes)$	1				

			April 2014			
Table 9 - Scoring Criteria and Standards						
Q#	Questions					
25	Are the tasks shown in the Budget consistent with the tasks discussed in the Work Summary?	1				
26	Are the tasks in the schedule consistent with the tasks described in the Work Summary?	1				
27	Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015?	1				
28	Does the application describe the step necessary to ensure that the proposed schedule can be met?	1				
Total Project Level Score for all projects		24				
Project Level Criterion Score Insert Average Total by Project = (Total Project Score/# of Projects); rounded to nearest whole number						
Total Proposal Score			Maximum Possible Score			
Enter Proposal Level Criterion Score			18			
Enter Project Level Criterion Score		24				
Grand Total (Sum Above Two Rows)		42				
Possible Additional Tie-Breaker Points		4				
Maximum possible points in the event of a tie		46				