



**Proposed Revisions to Project Scoring and Weighting
for IRWM Plan Update**

Criterion	Scoring Procedure	Points Assigned	Percent of Total Score**
Addresses Multiple Objectives*	Score <u>is</u> based on # of objectives addressed***	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4+ objectives = 100-4 pts 3 objectives = 75-3 pts 2 objectives = 50-2 pts 1 objective = 25-1 pts 	20% TBD
Integrates Multiple Strategies*	Score <u>is</u> based on # of strategies employed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8+ strategies = 100-4 pts 6-7 strategies = 75-3 pts 4-5 strategies = 50-2 pts 2-3 strategies = 25-1 pts 	20% TBD
Spans Multiple Hydrologic Units (HUs)	Score <u>is</u> based on # of hydrologic units the project benefits the level of <u>integration and coordination between HUs</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10+ units <u>Directly benefits multiple HUs</u> = 100-2 pts 7-9 units <u>Includes integration within a single HU</u> = 75-1 pts 3-6 units <u>Only provides site-specific benefits</u> = 50 pts 	10% TBD
Creates New Applied Water or Offsets Potable Demand*	Score is based on Yes/No response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes = 100 pts No = 0 pts 	10% TBD
Linked to Other <u>Water Management</u> Projects	Score is based on Yes/No response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes = 100 pts No = 0 pts 	13% TBD
Involves More than One Entity	Score is based on Yes/No response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes = 100 pts No = 0 pts 	13% TBD
Identified in Existing Plan	Score is based on Yes/No response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes = 100 pts No = 0 pts 	6%
<u>Implements IRWM Plan Recommendation, IRWM Workgroup Recommendation, or a Recommendation in an Adopted Water Management Plan</u>	<u>Score is based on the kind of planning document that suggests implementing benefits or components of the project</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>IRWM Plan Recommendation</u> = 4 pts <u>IRWM Workgroup Recommendation</u> = 2 pts <u>Other Adopted Water Management Plan Recommendation</u> = 1 pt 	TBD
Directly Benefits Disadvantaged / Environmental Justice Communities	Score is based on <u>the degree of benefit (direct vs. indirect)</u> Yes/No response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Direct Benefits</u> = 2 pts <u>Indirect Benefits</u> = 1 pt <u>No Benefits</u> = 0 pts 	8% TBD
<u>Cost Effectiveness</u>	<u>Compare cost effectiveness of projects within each functional area (\$/level of benefit)</u>	<u>Projects will be compared based on costs and targets included in the project database</u>	TBD
<u>Integration</u>	<u>Compare integrated aspects of each project</u>	<u>Projects will be compared based on the level of meaningful integration</u>	TBD
<u>Other</u>	TBD	TBD	TBD

* ½ points will be applied if the project indirectly meets this criterion.

** Prior to each round of funding, percentages will be applied as appropriate. Please note that percentages may be set at 0 for any given criteria, indicating that any of these criteria may be removed from consideration for a specific funding opportunity.

***RAC will be asked to prioritize the IRWM Plan Objectives prior to each grant cycle.

